arXiv:1701.01307v1 [math.GN] 5 Jan 2017

TOPOLOGICAL PROPERTIES OF SELF-SIMILAR FRACTALS WITH ONE PARAMETER

JUN JASON LUO AND LIAN WANG

ABSTRACT. In this paper, we study two classes of planar self-similar fractals T_ε with a shifting parameter ε. The first one is a class of self-similar tiles by shifting x-coordinates of some digits. We give a detailed discussion on the disk-likeness (*i.e., the property of being a topological disk*) in terms of ε. We also prove that T_ε determines a quasi-periodic tiling if and only if ε is rational. The second one is a class of self-similar sets by shifting diagonal digits. We give a necessary and sufficient condition for T_ε to be connected.

1. Introduction

Let A be a $d \times d$ integer expanding matrix (i.e., all of its eigenvalues are strictly larger than one in modulus), let $\mathcal{D} = \{d_1, \ldots, d_N\} \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ be a digit set with $N = |\det(A)|$. Then we can define an *iterated function system (IFS)* $\{S_j\}_{j=1}^N$ where S_j are affine maps

$$S_j(x) = A^{-1}(x + d_j), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^d.$$

Since A is expanding, each S_j is a contractive map under a suitable norm [13] of $\mathbb{R}^d$, there is a unique nonempty compact subset $T := T(A, \mathcal{D}) \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ [10] such that

$$T = \bigcup_{j=1}^{N} S_j(T) = A^{-1}(T + \mathcal{D}).$$

The set T also has the radix expansion

$$T = \left\{ \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} A^{-k} d_{j_k} : d_{j_k} \in \mathcal{D} \right\}. \tag{1.1}$$

We call T a *self-affine set* generated by the pair $(A, \mathcal{D})$ (or the IFS $\{S_j\}_{j=1}^N$). Moreover, if T has non-void interior (i.e., $T^\circ \neq \emptyset$), then there exits a discrete set $\mathcal{J} \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ satisfying

$$T + \mathcal{J} = \mathbb{R}^d \quad \text{and} \quad (T^\circ + t) \cap (T^\circ + t') = \emptyset \quad \text{with} \quad t \neq t', t, t' \in \mathcal{J}.$$

Date: January 6, 2017.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 28A80; Secondary 52C20, 54D05.

Key words and phrases. self-similar tile, connectedness, disk-likeness, quasi-periodic tiling.

The research is supported by the NNSF of China (No.11301322), the Fundamental and Frontier Research Project of Chongqing (No.cstc2015jcyjA00035).

We call such T a *self-affine tile* and $T + \mathcal{J}$ a *tiling* of $\mathbb{R}^d$. In particular, if A is a similarity, then T is called a *self-similar set/tile*.

Since the fundamental theory of self-affine tiles was established by Lagarias and Wang ([13],[14],[15]), there have been considerable interests in the topological structure of self-affine tiles T, including but not limited to the connectedness of T ([7],[8],[12],[1],[6]), the boundary ∂T ([2],[19],[22]), or the interior T° of a connected tile T ([24],[25]). Especially in $\mathbb{R}^2$, the study on the disk-likeness of T (*i.e., the property of being a topological disk*) has attracted a lot of attentions ([5],[16],[23],[11],[6]). For other related works, we refer to [20],[17],[18],[21] and a survey paper [3].

Any change on the matrix A and the digit set $\mathcal{D}$ may lead to some change on the topology of $T(A, \mathcal{D})$. To simplify the analysis on the relations between those two types of "changes", one may fix an expanding matrix A and focus on particular choices of the digit set $\mathcal{D}$. Recently Deng and Lau [6] considered a class of planar self-affine tiles T that are generated by a lower triangular expanding matrix and product-form digit sets. They gave a complete characterization on both connectedness and disk-likeness of T.

Motivated by the above results, in this paper, we investigate the topological properties of the following two classes of self-similar fractals in $\mathbb{R}^2$. Assume that A is a diagonal matrix with equal nonzero entries, hence A is a similarity. In the first class, we consider a kind of digit sets $\mathcal{D}_\varepsilon$ with a shift ε on the x-coordinates of some digits. We obtain an analogous result to [6].

Theorem 1.1. *Let p be an integer with $|p| = 2m + 1$ where $m \in \mathbb{N}$, let $\varepsilon \in \mathbb{R}$. Suppose T_ε is the self-similar set generated by $A = \begin{bmatrix} p & 0 \\ 0 & p \end{bmatrix}$ and*

$$\mathcal{D}_\varepsilon = \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} i + b_j \\ j \end{bmatrix} : b_j = \frac{1 - (-1)^j}{2}\varepsilon, \quad i, j \in \{0, \pm 1, \ldots, \pm m\} \right\}.$$

Then T_ε is a self-similar tile. Moreover,

(i) if $|\varepsilon| < |p|$, then T_ε is disk-like;

(ii) if $|p|^n \le |\varepsilon| < |p|^{n+1}$ for $n \ge 1$, then T_ε° has $|p|^n$ components and every closure of the component is disk-like. (see Figure 1)

In fact, Theorem 1.1 can be proved in a more general setting where $A = \begin{bmatrix} p & 0 \\ 0 & q \end{bmatrix}$ without causing much difficulty. We omit this for the completeness of the paper.

Moreover, we further consider the quasi-periodic tiling property of T_ε (the definition will be recalled in Section 3). Let $\mathcal{D}_{\varepsilon,k} = \mathcal{D}_\varepsilon + A\mathcal{D}_\varepsilon + \cdots + A^{k-1}\mathcal{D}_\varepsilon$ and $\mathcal{D}_{\varepsilon,\infty} = \bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{D}_{\varepsilon,k}$. We prove that

Theorem 1.2. *With the same $(A, \mathcal{D}_\varepsilon)$ as in Theorem 1.1, $T_\varepsilon + \mathcal{D}_{\varepsilon,\infty}$ is a quasi-periodic tiling if and only if ε is a rational number.*

The second one is a class of self-similar sets T_ε with a shift ε on the diagonal digits along the diagonal line. In contrast with the first one, in this class, T_ε might not be a tile, as the open set condition will not always hold for any ε (see the remark at the end of Section 4). Let $\delta_{ij} = 1$ if $i = j$; $\delta_{ij} = 0$ if $i \neq j$. Then we have

Theorem 1.3. *Let p be an integer with $|p| > 2$, $\varepsilon \in \mathbb{R}$. Suppose T_ε is the self-similar set generated by $A = \begin{bmatrix} p & 0 \\ 0 & p \end{bmatrix}$ and*

$$\mathcal{D}_\varepsilon = \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} i + a_{ij} \\ j + a_{ij} \end{bmatrix} : a_{ij} = \delta_{ij}\varepsilon, \quad i, j \in \{0, 1, \ldots, |p| - 1\} \right\}.$$

Then T_ε is connected if and only if $|\varepsilon| \leq \frac{(|p|-1)^2}{|p|-2}$. (see Figure 3)

For the organization of the paper, we prove Theorem 1.1 in Section 2, Theorem 1.2 in Section 3 and Theorem 1.3 in Section 4 respectively.

2. **Self-similar tiles**

Let $(A, \mathcal{D}_\varepsilon)$ be the pair as in Theorem 1.1, and let $T_\varepsilon := T(A, \mathcal{D}_\varepsilon)$ be the associated self-similar set. It suffices to prove the theorem for $p > 0$, otherwise we can replace A by A^2 according to the fact

$$T_\varepsilon = A^{-1}(T_\varepsilon + \mathcal{D}_\varepsilon) = A^{-2}(T_\varepsilon + \mathcal{D}_\varepsilon + A\mathcal{D}_\varepsilon)$$

where the digit set $\mathcal{D}_\varepsilon + A\mathcal{D}_\varepsilon$ can be written as:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{D}_\varepsilon + A\mathcal{D}_\varepsilon &= \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} pr + l + (pb_k + b_t) \\ pk + t \end{bmatrix} : r, l, k, t \in \{0, \pm 1, \ldots, \pm m\} \right\} \\ &= \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} i + b'_j \\ j \end{bmatrix} : i, j \in \{0, \pm 1, \ldots, \pm(2m^2 + 2m)\} \right\}, \end{aligned}$$

where $b'_j = pb_k + b_t$ with $j = pk + t$.

We denote by $\mathcal{I}$ the set of $\mathbf{i} = i_1 i_2 \cdots$ with $i_n \in \{0, \pm 1, \ldots, \pm m\}$. In view of (1.1),

$$T_\varepsilon = \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} p(\mathbf{i}) + b(\mathbf{j}) \\ p(\mathbf{j}) \end{bmatrix} : \mathbf{i} = i_1 i_2 \cdots, \mathbf{j} = j_1 j_2 \cdots \in \mathcal{I} \right\} \tag{2.1}$$

where

$$p(\mathbf{i}) = \sum_n \frac{i_n}{p^n}, \quad b(\mathbf{j}) = \sum_n \frac{b_{j_n}}{p^n} \quad \text{and} \quad p(\mathbf{j}) = \sum_n \frac{j_n}{p^n}.$$

It follows from the above that the range of the y-coordinate of T_ε is the interval $[-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}]$. For each fixed $y = p(\mathbf{j})$ such that the radix expansion is unique, then the horizontal cross section of T_ε is an interval of length 1 with endpoints at $-\frac{1}{2} + b(\mathbf{j})$ and $\frac{1}{2} + b(\mathbf{j})$; for the other y-coordinate that has two radix expansions, the horizontal cross section of T_ε is the union of two intervals with length 1.

The following lemma is essentially the same as Proposition 2.2 in [6].

Lemma 2.1. *T_ε is a self-similar tile. Moreover, for any sequence $\{\ell_s\}_{s\in\mathbb{Z}}$ in $\mathbb{R}$, let $\mathcal{J} = \{(n+\ell_s, s)^t : n, s \in \mathbb{Z}\}$. Then $T_\varepsilon + \mathcal{J}$ is a tiling of $\mathbb{R}^2$.*

Proof. Let $D = \{0, \pm1, \dots, \pm m\}$. For any $(x, y)^t \in \mathbb{R}^2$, since $T(p, D) = [-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}]$, we can find $s \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $y - s \in [-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}]$. Let $\mathbf{j} \in \mathcal{I}$ such that $y - s = p(\mathbf{j})$. On the other hand, there is $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $x - b(\mathbf{j}) - \ell_s - n \in [-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}]$. This implies that $x - b(\mathbf{j}) - \ell_s - n = p(\mathbf{i})$ for some $\mathbf{i} \in \mathcal{I}$. It follows that $(x, y)^t \in T_\varepsilon + (n + \ell_s, s)^t$. Hence $T_\varepsilon + \mathcal{J} = \mathbb{R}^2$.

Note that for almost all $y \in \mathbb{R}$, the above $s, \mathbf{j}$ are unique. If we fix such y, then for almost all $x \in \mathbb{R}$, the above n is also unique. Therefore, for almost all $(x, y)^t \in \mathbb{R}^2$, the above n, s are unique. Hence $\{T_\varepsilon + t : t \in \mathcal{J}\}$ are measure disjoint sets. That means $T_\varepsilon + \mathcal{J}$ tile $\mathbb{R}^2$. □

Geometrically, the tile T_ε has two sides on the horizontal line $y = -\frac{1}{2}$ and $y = \frac{1}{2}$ with length one. Lemma 2.1 implies that the tiling can be moved horizontally. The following is an elementary criterion for connectedness.

Lemma 2.2 ([9],[12])**.** *Let $\{S_j\}_{j=1}^N$ be an IFS of contractions on $\mathbb{R}^d$ and let K be its attractor. Then K is connected if and only if, for any $i \neq j \in \{1, 2, \dots, N\}$, there exits a sequence $i = j_1, j_2, \dots, j_n = j$ of indices in $\{1, 2, \dots, N\}$ so that $S_{j_k}(K) \cap S_{j_{k+1}}(K) \neq \emptyset$ for all $1 \leq k < n$.*

Let

$$f_{i,j}\left(\begin{bmatrix} x \\ y \end{bmatrix}\right) = A^{-1}\left(\begin{bmatrix} x \\ y \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} i + b_j \\ j \end{bmatrix}\right),$$

where $i, j \in \{0, \pm1, \cdots, \pm m\}$. Then $f_{i,j}$'s form an IFS which generates T_ε. By (2.1), the elements of $f_{i,j}(T_\varepsilon)$ are of the form

$$\begin{bmatrix} p(i\mathbf{i}) + b(j\mathbf{j}) \\ p(j\mathbf{j}) \end{bmatrix} \tag{2.2}$$

where $\mathbf{i} = i_1 i_2 \cdots$, $\mathbf{j} = j_1 j_2 \cdots \in \mathcal{I}$. For $j_1 j_2 \cdots j_n$ with $j_t \in \{0, \pm1, \dots, \pm m\}$, if we denote

$$G_{j_1 \cdots j_n} = \bigcup_{i_1} \cdots \bigcup_{i_n} f_{i_1,j_1} \circ f_{i_2,j_2} \circ \cdots \circ f_{i_n,j_n}(T_\varepsilon), \tag{2.3}$$

then

$$T_\varepsilon = \bigcup_{j_1,\dots,j_n} G_{j_1 j_2 \cdots j_n}.$$

For simplicity of our statements, we write $\mathbf{i}_0 = i_1 \cdots i_n$, $\mathbf{j}_0 = j_1 \cdots j_n$ and $\mathbf{0} = \underbrace{0 \cdots 0}_{n}$ where $n \geq 1$.

Proposition 2.3. *For $\mathbf{j}_0 = j_1 \cdots j_n \in \{0, \pm1, \dots, \pm m\}^n$ and $k, \ell \in \{0, \pm1, \dots, \pm m\}$,*
(i) if $|k - \ell| \geq 2$, then $G_{\mathbf{j}_0 k} \cap G_{\mathbf{j}_0 \ell} = \emptyset$;

(ii) if $|k-\ell|=1$, then $G_{\mathbf{j}_0 k}\cap G_{\mathbf{j}_0 \ell}$ is a line segment if and only if

$$|\varepsilon|<p^{n+1},$$

and is a single point if and only if $|\varepsilon|=p^{n+1}$. (see Figure 1)

Proof. In view of (2.2) and (2.3), we obtain that

$$G_{\mathbf{j}_0 k}=\left\{\begin{bmatrix} p(\mathbf{i})+b(\mathbf{j}_0 k\mathbf{j}) \\ p(\mathbf{j}_0 k\mathbf{j})\end{bmatrix}:\mathbf{i},\mathbf{j}\in\mathcal{I}\right\} \tag{2.4}$$

From the expression of the y-coordinate, $G_{\mathbf{j}_0 k}$ is a part of T_ε between the horizontal lines $y=\sum_{t=1}^{n}\frac{j_t}{p^t}+\frac{k}{p^{n+1}}-\frac{1}{2p^{n+1}}$ and $y=\sum_{t=1}^{n}\frac{j_t}{p^t}+\frac{k+1}{p^{n+1}}-\frac{1}{2p^{n+1}}$. Hence the part (i) follows.

From (2.3), we have

$$\begin{aligned} G_{\mathbf{j}_0} &= \bigcup_{i_1,\dots,i_n}\left(A^{-n}T_\varepsilon+\begin{bmatrix} p(\mathbf{i}_0)+b(\mathbf{j}_0)\\ p(\mathbf{j}_0)\end{bmatrix}\right)\\ &= \bigcup_{i_1,\dots,i_n}\left(A^{-n}T_\varepsilon+\begin{bmatrix} p(\mathbf{i}_0)\\ 0\end{bmatrix}\right)+\begin{bmatrix} b(\mathbf{j}_0)\\ p(\mathbf{j}_0)\end{bmatrix}\\ &= G_{\mathbf{0}}+\begin{bmatrix} b(\mathbf{j}_0)\\ p(\mathbf{j}_0)\end{bmatrix}. \end{aligned} \tag{2.5}$$

That is, every $G_{\mathbf{j}_0}$ is a translation of $G_{\mathbf{0}}$. Hence, to prove the part (ii), we only need to show the cases that $G_{\mathbf{00}}\cap G_{\mathbf{01}}$ and $G_{\mathbf{00}}\cap G_{\mathbf{0}(-1)}$, as other situations are their translations. Since $G_{\mathbf{01}}$ and $G_{\mathbf{0}(-1)}$ are symmetric with respect to x-axis, it suffices to consider $G_{\mathbf{00}}\cap G_{\mathbf{01}}$. By making use of (2.4),

$$G_{\mathbf{00}}=\left\{\begin{bmatrix} p(\mathbf{i})+b(\mathbf{00}\mathbf{j}) \\ p(\mathbf{00}\mathbf{j})\end{bmatrix}:\mathbf{i},\mathbf{j}\in\mathcal{I}\right\}$$

$$G_{\mathbf{01}}=\left\{\begin{bmatrix} p(\mathbf{i})+b(\mathbf{01}\mathbf{j}) \\ p(\mathbf{01}\mathbf{j})\end{bmatrix}:\mathbf{i},\mathbf{j}\in\mathcal{I}\right\}$$

From the proof of part (i), we know that the intersection of $G_{\mathbf{00}}\cap G_{\mathbf{01}}$ has a unique y-coordinate $\frac{1}{2p^{n+1}}$. By the expression of $G_{\mathbf{00}}$, all digits in $\mathbf{j}$ are m. Since $b_i=0$ if i is even; $b_i=\varepsilon$ if i is odd. The x-coordinate of the element with y-coordinate of $\frac{1}{2p^{n+1}}$ in $G_{\mathbf{00}}$ is as follows:

If m is even, $b_m=0$, then $b(\mathbf{00}\mathbf{j})=0$. Hence the x-coordinate is $x=p(\mathbf{i})$. Since $\{p(\mathbf{i}):\mathbf{i}\in\mathcal{I}\}=[-\frac{1}{2},\frac{1}{2}]$, the x's form a unit interval

$$I_1=[-\frac{1}{2},\frac{1}{2}].$$

If m is odd, $b_m=\varepsilon$, then $b(\mathbf{00}\mathbf{j})=\sum_{t=n+2}^{\infty}\frac{\varepsilon}{p^t}=\frac{\varepsilon}{p^{n+1}(p-1)}$. Hence the x-coordinate is $x=p(\mathbf{i})+\frac{\varepsilon}{p^{n+1}(p-1)}$. Such x's form a unit interval

$$I_1=[\frac{\varepsilon}{p^{n+1}(p-1)}-\frac{1}{2},\frac{\varepsilon}{p^{n+1}(p-1)}+\frac{1}{2}].$$

In both two cases, we denote $I_1 = [\alpha, \alpha + 1]$.

Similarly, by the expression of $G_{\mathbf{01}}$, all digits in $\mathbf{j}$ are $-m$. Then the x-coordinate of the element with y-coordinate of $\frac{1}{2p^{n+1}}$ in $G_{\mathbf{01}}$ is of the following form:

If m is even, $x' = \frac{\varepsilon}{p^{n+1}} + p(\mathbf{i})$, which determines a unit interval

$$I_2 = [\frac{\varepsilon}{p^{n+1}} - \frac{1}{2}, \frac{\varepsilon}{p^{n+1}} + \frac{1}{2}].$$

If m is odd, $x' = \frac{\varepsilon}{p^{n+1}(p-1)} + \frac{\varepsilon}{p^{n+1}} + p(\mathbf{i})$, which determines a unit interval

$$I_2 = [\frac{\varepsilon}{p^{n+1}(p-1)} + \frac{\varepsilon}{p^{n+1}} - \frac{1}{2}, \frac{\varepsilon}{p^{n+1}(p-1)} + \frac{\varepsilon}{p^{n+1}} + \frac{1}{2}].$$

In both two cases, we denote $I_2 = [\beta, \beta + 1]$.

It follows that if $G_{\mathbf{00}} \cap G_{\mathbf{01}} \neq \emptyset$, then the y-coordinate of the intersection is $\frac{1}{2p^{n+1}}$ and the x-coordinate of the intersection is $I_1 \cap I_2$. Note that $I_1 \cap I_2$ is an empty set when $|\alpha - \beta| = \frac{\varepsilon}{p^{n+1}} > 1$; a single point when $|\alpha - \beta| = \frac{\varepsilon}{p^{n+1}} = 1$; and an interval when $|\alpha - \beta| = \frac{\varepsilon}{p^{n+1}} < 1$. Therefore the part (ii) is proved. □

Proposition 2.4. *Let $\mathbf{j}_0 = j_1 j_2 \cdots j_n \in \{0, \pm 1, \ldots, \pm m\}^n$ for some $n \geq 1$, then $G_{\mathbf{j}_0}$ is a self-similar tile. Moreover, $G_{\mathbf{j}_0}$ is disk-like if and only if $|\varepsilon| < p^{n+1}$.*

Proof. Since $G_{\mathbf{j}_0}$ is a translation of $G_{\mathbf{0}}$ by (2.5), we only show the case for $G_{\mathbf{0}}$. By (2.3), we see that

$$\begin{aligned}
G_{\mathbf{0}j} &= \bigcup_{i_1,\ldots,i_{n+1}} \left(A^{-n-1} T_\varepsilon + \begin{bmatrix} p(i_1 \cdots i_{n+1}) + b(\mathbf{0}j) \\ p(\mathbf{0}j) \end{bmatrix} \right) \\
&= \bigcup_{i_1,\ldots,i_{n+1}} A^{-1} \left(A^{-n} T_\varepsilon + A \begin{bmatrix} p(i_1 \cdots i_{n+1}) + b(\mathbf{0}j) \\ p(\mathbf{0}j) \end{bmatrix} \right) \\
&= \bigcup_{i_1,\ldots,i_{n+1}} A^{-1} \left(A^{-n} T_\varepsilon + \begin{bmatrix} p(i_2 \cdots i_{n+1}) + i_1 + \frac{b_j}{p^n} \\ \frac{j}{p^n} \end{bmatrix} \right) \\
&= \bigcup_{i_1} A^{-1} \left(\bigcup_{i_2,\ldots,i_{n+1}} \left(A^{-n} T_\varepsilon + \begin{bmatrix} p(i_2 \cdots i_{n+1}) \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \right) + \begin{bmatrix} i_1 + \frac{b_j}{p^n} \\ \frac{j}{p^n} \end{bmatrix} \right) \\
&= \bigcup_{i_1} A^{-1} \left(G_{\mathbf{0}} + \begin{bmatrix} i_1 + \frac{b_j}{p^n} \\ \frac{j}{p^n} \end{bmatrix} \right)
\end{aligned}$$

From $G_{\mathbf{0}} = \bigcup_{j=-m}^{m} G_{\mathbf{0}j}$, it follows that

$$G_{\mathbf{0}} = A^{-1}(G_{\mathbf{0}} + \mathcal{D}') \tag{2.6}$$

where

$$\mathcal{D}' = \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} i + \frac{b_j}{p^n} \\ \frac{j}{p^n} \end{bmatrix} : i, j \in \{0, \pm 1, \ldots, \pm m\} \right\}.$$

Let $U = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & p^n \end{bmatrix}$. Then $UG_{\mathbf{0}} = A^{-1}(UG_{\mathbf{0}} + U\mathcal{D}')$, where

$$U\mathcal{D}' = \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} i + \frac{b_j}{p^n} \\ j \end{bmatrix} : i, j \in \{0, \pm 1, \dots, \pm m\} \right\}.$$

By Lemma 2.1, $UG_{\mathbf{0}}$ is a self-similar tile, so is $G_{\mathbf{0}}$. Moreover, $\mathcal{J}' = \{(r + \ell_s, \frac{s}{p^n})^t : r, s \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ is a tiling set of $G_{\mathbf{0}}$ for any sequence $\{\ell_s\}_{s\in\mathbb{Z}} \subset \mathbb{R}$.

According to (2.6), we can write the corresponding IFS of $G_{\mathbf{0}}$ as

$$f'_{i,j}\left(\begin{bmatrix} x \\ y \end{bmatrix}\right) = A^{-1}\left(\begin{bmatrix} x \\ y \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} i + \frac{b_j}{p^n} \\ \frac{j}{p^n} \end{bmatrix}\right), \quad i, j \in \{0, \pm 1, \dots, \pm m\}.$$

We will verify the disk-likeness of $G_{\mathbf{0}}$ through the following claims.

(i) We claim that $f'_{i,j}(G_{\mathbf{0}}) \cap f'_{i+1,j}(G_{\mathbf{0}})$ contains an interior point of $G_{\mathbf{0}}$ for any i, j. It suffices to show that $G_{\mathbf{0}} \cap (G_{\mathbf{0}} + (1,0)^t)$ contains a point of $(G_{\mathbf{0}} \cup (G_{\mathbf{0}} + (1,0)^t))^\circ$. For $\mathbf{i}, \mathbf{j} \in \mathcal{I}$, we have $p(\mathbf{i}) \in [-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}]$ and $\frac{p(\mathbf{j})}{p^n} \in [-\frac{1}{2p^n}, \frac{1}{2p^n}]$. Fix a point $y_0 \in (-\frac{1}{2p^n}, \frac{1}{2p^n})$ so that there exists a unique $\mathbf{j} \in \mathcal{I}$ such that $\frac{p(\mathbf{j})}{p^n} = y_0$. Let

$$x_0 = \frac{b(\mathbf{j})}{p^n} + \frac{1}{2},$$

then $(x_0, y_0)^t \in G_{\mathbf{0}} \cap (G_{\mathbf{0}} + (1,0)^t)$.

On the other hand, since y_0 is an interior point of $[-\frac{1}{2p^n}, \frac{1}{2p^n}]$ and the set $\mathcal{J}' = \{(r, \frac{s}{p^n})^t : r, s \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ is a tiling set of $G_{\mathbf{0}}$ (taking $\ell_s \equiv 0$), then $(x_0, y_0)^t \in G_{\mathbf{0}} + (r, \frac{s}{p^n})^t$ only if $s = 0$, i.e., $(x_0, y_0)^t \in G_{\mathbf{0}} + (r, 0)^t$. Thus, x_0 must be the form

$$x_0 = \frac{b(\mathbf{j})}{p^n} + p(\mathbf{i}) + r$$

for some $\mathbf{i} \in \mathcal{I}$. That implies $p(\mathbf{i}) + r = \frac{1}{2}$, hence $r = 0$ or 1 as $p(\mathbf{i}) \in [-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}]$. Then $(x_0, y_0)^t \notin G_{\mathbf{0}} + (r, 0)^t$ for any $r \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0, 1\}$. Therefore $(x_0, y_0)^t$ must be in $(G_{\mathbf{0}} \cup (G_{\mathbf{0}} + (1,0)^t))^\circ$.

(ii) We claim that $G_{\mathbf{0}}$ is connected if and only if $|\varepsilon| \le p^{n+1}$. Indeed, if $G_{\mathbf{0}}$ is connected, by Proposition 2.3, then $G_{\mathbf{0}k} \cap G_{\mathbf{0}(k+1)} \neq \emptyset$ for $-m \le k \le m-1$, which implies $|\varepsilon| \le p^{n+1}$. On the contrary, if $|\varepsilon| \le p^{n+1}$, then $G_{\mathbf{0}k} \cap G_{\mathbf{0}(k+1)} \neq \emptyset$ for $-m \le k \le m-1$, by Proposition 2.3. Thus, there exist $i_k, t_k \in \{0, \pm 1, \dots, \pm m\}$ such that $f'_{i_k,k}(G_{\mathbf{0}}) \cap f'_{t_k,k+1}(G_{\mathbf{0}}) \neq \emptyset$. That together with (i), can help us select a finite sequence $\{S_j\}_{j=1}^N$ from $\{f'_{i,j}\}_{i,j}$ in the following zigzag order:

$$\begin{aligned}
&f'_{-m,-m}, f'_{-m+1,-m}, \dots, f'_{m,-m}, f'_{m-1,-m}, \dots, f'_{i_{-m},-m}, f'_{t_{-m},-m+1}, f'_{t_{-m}+1,-m+1}, \dots, \\
&f'_{m,-m+1}, f'_{m-1,-m+1}, \dots, f'_{-m,-m+1}, f'_{-m+1,-m+1}, \dots, f'_{i_{-m+1},-m+1}, f'_{t_{-m+1},-m+2}, \dots, \\
&f'_{i_{m-1},m-1}, f'_{t_{m-1},m}, \dots, f'_{m,m}, f'_{m-1,m}, \dots, f'_{-m,m}.
\end{aligned}$$

Then each $f'_{i,j}$ appears at least once in the sequence $\{S_j\}_{j=1}^N$ and

$$S_j(G_{\mathbf{0}}) \cap S_{j+1}(G_{\mathbf{0}}) \neq \emptyset, \quad \forall\ 1 \le j \le N-1.$$



(a) $\varepsilon = 2$ (b) $\varepsilon = 3$ (c) $\varepsilon = 4$

(d) $\varepsilon = 8$ (e) $\varepsilon = 9$ (f) $\varepsilon = 10$

FIGURE 1. An illustration of Theorem 1.1 by taking $p = 3$.

Hence $G_{\mathbf{0}}$ is connected by Lemma 2.2.

(iii) We claim that $(G_{\mathbf{0}})^\circ$ is connected if $|\varepsilon| < p^{n+1}$. For $-m \le k \le m-1$, if $|\varepsilon| < p^{n+1}$, then there exist i_k, t_k such that $f'_{i_k,k}(G_{\mathbf{0}}) \cap f'_{t_k,k+1}(G_{\mathbf{0}})$ is a horizontal line segment by Proposition 2.3. Suppose $\overline{z} = f'_{i_k,k}(\tilde{z})$ be the mid-point of the line segment. Since

$$f'_{i_k,k}(G_{\mathbf{0}}) = A^{-1}\left(G_{\mathbf{0}} + \begin{bmatrix} i_k + \frac{b_k}{p^n} \\ \frac{k}{p^n} \end{bmatrix}\right),$$

$$f'_{t_k,k+1}(G_{\mathbf{0}}) = A^{-1}\left(G_{\mathbf{0}} + \begin{bmatrix} i_k + \frac{b_k}{p^n} \\ \frac{k}{p^n} \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} c \\ \frac{1}{p^n} \end{bmatrix}\right),$$

where $c = t_k - i_k + \frac{b_{k+1} - b_k}{p^n}$. Then $G_{\mathbf{0}} \cap (G_{\mathbf{0}} + (c, \frac{1}{p^n})^t)$ is a horizontal line segment with positive length and $\tilde{z}$ is its mid-point. Note that the top and bottom sides of $G_{\mathbf{0}}$ are horizontal line segments with length one and the height of $G_{\mathbf{0}}$ is $\frac{1}{p^n}$, it is easy to verify that

$$\tilde{z} \in G_{\mathbf{0}} + (r + \ell_s, \frac{s}{p^n})^t \text{ if and only if } (r + \ell_s, \frac{s}{p^n})^t = (0,0)^t \text{ or } (c, \frac{1}{p^n})^t.$$

Then $\tilde{z}$ is an interior point of $G_{\mathbf{0}} \cup (G_{\mathbf{0}} + (c, \frac{1}{p^n})^t)$, this means $\overline{z}$ is an interior point of $f'_{i_k,k}(G_{\mathbf{0}}) \cup f'_{t_k,k+1}(G_{\mathbf{0}})$. Thus, $f'_{i_k,k}(G_{\mathbf{0}}) \cap f'_{t_k,k+1}(G_{\mathbf{0}})$ contains an interior point of $G_{\mathbf{0}}$. Hence $(G_{\mathbf{0}})^\circ$ is connected by Lemma 2.2.

Now we prove the second part of the proposition. Suppose $|\varepsilon| < p^{n+1}$, then claim (iii) implies $(G_{\mathbf{0}})^\circ$ is connected, which yields the disk-likeness of $G_{\mathbf{0}}$ by a theorem of Luo *et al.* [23]. Suppose $G_{\mathbf{0}}$ is disk-like, then $G_{\mathbf{0}}$ and $(G_{\mathbf{0}})^\circ$ are both connected, hence we have $|\varepsilon| \le p^{n+1}$ by claim (ii). If the equality holds, then $G_{\mathbf{0}k} \cap G_{\mathbf{0}(k+1)}$

contains only one point for any k, and $G_{\mathbf{0}k} \cap G_{\mathbf{0}\ell} = \emptyset$ for $|k-\ell| \geq 2$ by Proposition 2.3. Since $G_{\mathbf{0}} = \bigcup_{k=-m}^{m} G_{\mathbf{0}k}$, $G_{\mathbf{0}}$ can be divided into p parts, and every two adjacent parts intersect at one common point. Hence the intersection point must be at the boundary of $G_{\mathbf{0}}$. That is, $(G_{\mathbf{0}})^\circ$ is not connected, yielding a contradiction. Therefore, $G_{\mathbf{0}}$ is disk-like if and only if $|\varepsilon| < p^{n+1}$. □

Proof of Theorem 1.1: (i) If $|\varepsilon| < |p|$, by using the same argument as in the proof of Proposition 2.4, we can prove that T_ε is disk-like (see also Theorem 3.1 of [6]); If $|\varepsilon| < |p|^{n+1}$ for $n \geq 1$, by Proposition 2.4, then $G_{j_1 \cdots j_n}$ are disk-like tiles. Moreover, from Proposition 2.3 and the assumption $|\varepsilon| \geq |p|^n$, it follows that the tiles $G_{j_1 \cdots j_n}$ are either disjoint or meet each other at a single point (see Figure 1). Therefore, we conclude with proving (ii). □

3. **Quasi-periodic tiling**

A tiling $T + \mathcal{J}$ of $\mathbb{R}^n$ with a tile T is called a *self-replicating tiling* with a matrix B if for each $t \in \mathcal{J}$ there exists a finite set $J(t) \subset \mathcal{J}$ such that

$$B(T+t) = \bigcup_{t' \in J(t)} (T + t').$$

Moreover, we say a tiling $T + \mathcal{J}$ is a *quasi-periodic tiling* if the following two properties hold:

(1) Local isomorphism property: For any finite set $\Sigma \subset \mathcal{J}$, there exists a constant $R > 0$ such that every ball of radius R in $\mathbb{R}^n$ contains a translating copy $\Sigma + t$ of Σ such that $\Sigma + t \subset \mathcal{J}$.

(2) Local finiteness property: For any $k \geq 1$ and $r > 0$, there are finitely many translation-inequivalent arrangements of k points in $\mathcal{J}$ which lie in some ball of radius r.

Under the assumption of Theorem 1.1, we let $\mathcal{D}_{\varepsilon,k} = \mathcal{D}_\varepsilon + A\mathcal{D}_\varepsilon + \cdots + A^{k-1}\mathcal{D}_\varepsilon$ and $\mathcal{D}_{\varepsilon,\infty} = \bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{D}_{\varepsilon,k}$. For $j \in \{0, \pm 1, \ldots, \pm m\}$, denote by

$$\widehat{j} = \begin{cases} 1 & j \text{ is odd} \\ 0 & j \text{ is even.} \end{cases}$$

Then it can be easily seen that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{D}_{\varepsilon,\infty} &= \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} i_n p^n + \varepsilon \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \widehat{j}_n p^n \\ \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} j_n p^n \end{bmatrix} : i_n, j_n \in \{0, \pm 1, \ldots, \pm m\} \right\} \\ &= \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} m_2 + \widehat{m}_1 \varepsilon \\ m_1 \end{bmatrix} : m_1, m_2 \in \mathbb{Z}, \widehat{m}_1 = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \widehat{j}_n p^n \text{ when } m_1 = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} j_n p^n \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Proposition 3.1. *$T_\varepsilon + \mathcal{D}_{\varepsilon,\infty}$ is a self-replicating tiling.*

Proof. By Lemma 2.1, obviously $\mathcal{D}_{\varepsilon,\infty}$ is a tiling set of $\mathbb{R}^2$. For any $t \in \mathcal{D}_{\varepsilon,\infty}$, we let $J(t) = At + \mathcal{D}_\varepsilon$. Since $AT_\varepsilon = T_\varepsilon + \mathcal{D}_\varepsilon$, we have $AT_\varepsilon + At = T_\varepsilon + \mathcal{D}_\varepsilon + At$. Hence

$$A(T_\varepsilon + t) = \bigcup_{t' \in J(t)} (T_\varepsilon + t').$$

Therefore $T_\varepsilon + \mathcal{D}_{\varepsilon,\infty}$ is a self-replicating tiling by letting $B = A$. □

Theorem 3.2. *$T_\varepsilon + \mathcal{D}_{\varepsilon,\infty}$ is a quasi-periodic tiling if and only if ε is a rational number.*

Proof. By the definition, we first show the local isomorphism property holds. Let Σ be a finite subset of $\mathcal{D}_{\varepsilon,\infty}$, then there exists an integer ℓ such that $\Sigma \subset \mathcal{D}_{\varepsilon,\ell}$. Let

$$R = \operatorname{diam}(A^\ell T_\varepsilon) = \operatorname{diam}(\bigcup_{d \in \mathcal{D}_{\varepsilon,\ell}} (T_\varepsilon + d)).$$

We claim that every ball $B_x(3R)$ with center $x \in \mathbb{R}^2$ and radius $3R$, contains a translating copy of Σ. Indeed, for the x, there exists a $d_x \in \mathcal{D}_{\varepsilon,\infty}$ such that $x \in T_\varepsilon + d_x$ by the tiling property. If $d_x \notin \mathcal{D}_{\varepsilon,\ell}$, then there is a larger integer $\ell' > \ell$ such that

$$d_x \in \sum_{j=0}^{\ell-1} A^j d_{i_j} + \sum_{j=\ell}^{\ell'} A^j d_{i_j}, \quad \text{where } d_{i_j} \in \mathcal{D}_\varepsilon.$$

Let $d'_x = \sum_{j=\ell}^{\ell'} A^j d_{i_j}$, then $d_x - d'_x \in \mathcal{D}_{\varepsilon,\ell}$. By using $0 \in T_\varepsilon$ and the triangle inequality, for $d \in \mathcal{D}_{\varepsilon,\ell}$, we have,

$$\|d'_x + d - x\| \le \|x - d_x\| + \|d_x - d'_x\| + \|d\| \le 3R.$$

Thus $d'_x + \mathcal{D}_{\varepsilon,\ell} \subset B_x(3R)$, yielding $d'_x + \Sigma \subset B_x(3R)$. If $d_x \in \mathcal{D}_{\varepsilon,\ell}$, the above is still true as $d'_x = 0$.

Now we show $T_\varepsilon + \mathcal{D}_{\varepsilon,\infty}$ has the local finiteness property if and only if ε is rational, then the desired result follows.

Suppose ε is irrational. Consider $m_1 = m(1 + p + \cdots + p^{k-1}) = \frac{1}{2}(p^k - 1)$, $n_1 = (-m)(1 + p + \cdots + p^{k-1}) + 1 \cdot p^k = \frac{1}{2}(p^k + 1)$. If m is an even integer, then $\widehat{m}_1 = 0, \widehat{n}_1 = p^k$. We have

$$t_k := \begin{bmatrix} m_2 \\ m_1 \end{bmatrix}, \; t'_k := \begin{bmatrix} n_2 + \varepsilon p^k \\ n_1 \end{bmatrix} \in \mathcal{D}_{\varepsilon,\infty}, \; \forall \; m_2, n_2 \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

If m is an odd integer, then $\widehat{m}_1 = 1+p+\cdots+p^{k-1} = \frac{1-p^k}{1-p}$, $\widehat{n}_1 = 1+p+\cdots+p^{k-1}+p^k = \frac{1-p^k}{1-p} + p^k$. We have

$$t_k := \begin{bmatrix} m_2 + \frac{\varepsilon(1-p^k)}{1-p} \\ m_1 \end{bmatrix}, \; t'_k := \begin{bmatrix} n_2 + \varepsilon p^k + \frac{\varepsilon(1-p^k)}{1-p} \\ n_1 \end{bmatrix} \in \mathcal{D}_{\varepsilon,\infty}, \; \forall \; m_2, n_2 \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

In any case, by letting m_2 be the integral part of $n_2+\varepsilon p^k$, we always get $\|t_k - t'_k\| \le \sqrt{2}$. Thus there are infinitely many translation-inequivalent arrangements of t_k and t'_k.

On the other hand, suppose $\varepsilon = \frac{a}{b}$ is a rational number where a, b are co-prime numbers. Given an integer $c > 0$, and any two elements

$$\begin{bmatrix} m_2 + \widehat{m}_1\varepsilon \\ m_1 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} n_2 + \widehat{n}_1\varepsilon \\ n_1 \end{bmatrix} \in \mathcal{D}_{\varepsilon,\infty}.$$

$|m_1 - m_2| \le c$ can admit at most $2c+1$ choices for $m_1 - m_2$; while $b|(m_2 + \widehat{m}_1\varepsilon) - (n_2 + \widehat{n}_1\varepsilon)| = |b(m_2 - n_2) + a(\widehat{m}_1 - \widehat{n}_1)| \in \mathbb{Z}$, hence $|(m_2 + \widehat{m}_1\varepsilon) - (n_2 + \widehat{n}_1\varepsilon)| \le c$ can admit at most $b(2c+1)$ choices for $(m_2 + \widehat{m}_1\varepsilon) - (n_2 + \widehat{n}_1\varepsilon)$. Thus the number of possible differences of any two points of $\mathcal{D}_{\varepsilon,\infty}$ in a square S with edge length c is finite. Inductively, we have the same conclusion for k points in S. Therefore, $T_\varepsilon + \mathcal{D}_{\varepsilon,\infty}$ has the local finiteness property. □

4. **Self-similar sets**

Let $T_\varepsilon := T(A, \mathcal{D}_\varepsilon)$ be the associated self-similar set in Theorem 1.3. We only need to consider the case that $p > 0$. Under the assumption of Theorem 1.3, we can write the IFS as follows

$$f_{i,j}\left(\begin{bmatrix} x \\ y \end{bmatrix}\right) = A^{-1}\left(\begin{bmatrix} x \\ y \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} i + a_{ij} \\ j + a_{ij} \end{bmatrix}\right) \tag{4.1}$$

where $i, j \in \{0, 1, \ldots, p-1\}$, $a_{ij} = \delta_{ij}\varepsilon$. Denote by $t_{i,j}$ the fixed point of the contraction $f_{i,j}$. It is easy to compute that

$$t_{0,0} = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\varepsilon}{p-1} \\ \frac{\varepsilon}{p-1} \end{bmatrix}, t_{1,0} = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{p-1} \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, t_{0,1} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ \frac{1}{p-1} \end{bmatrix}, t_{1,p-1} = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{p-1} \\ 1 \end{bmatrix},$$

$$t_{0,p-1} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}, t_{p-1,0} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, t_{p-1,1} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ \frac{1}{p-1} \end{bmatrix}, t_{p-1,p-1} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 + \frac{\varepsilon}{p-1} \\ 1 + \frac{\varepsilon}{p-1} \end{bmatrix}.$$

The following simple result is very useful for proving the connectedness of T_ε.

Proposition 4.1. *(i) for $i \ne j$ and $i+1 \ne j$, we have $f_{i,j}(T_\varepsilon) \cap f_{i+1,j}(T_\varepsilon) \ne \emptyset$;*

(ii) for $j \ne i$ and $j+1 \ne i$, we have $f_{i,j}(T_\varepsilon) \cap f_{i,j+1}(T_\varepsilon) \ne \emptyset$;

(iii) for $i = 0, 1, \ldots, p-2$, we have $f_{i+1,i}(T_\varepsilon) \cap f_{i,i+1}(T_\varepsilon) \ne \emptyset$ and $f_{i,i}(T_\varepsilon) \cap f_{i+1,i+1}(T_\varepsilon) \ne \emptyset$.

Proof. (i) For $i \ne j$ and $i+1 \ne j$, we see that

$$f_{i,j}\left(\begin{bmatrix} x \\ y \end{bmatrix}\right) = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{x+i}{p} \\ \frac{y+j}{p} \end{bmatrix} \text{ and } f_{i+1,j}\left(\begin{bmatrix} x \\ y \end{bmatrix}\right) = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{x+i+1}{p} \\ \frac{y+j}{p} \end{bmatrix}.$$

Since

$$f_{i,j}(t_{p-1,1}) = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{i+1}{p} \\ \frac{1}{p(p-1)} + \frac{j}{p} \end{bmatrix} = f_{i+1,j}(t_{0,1}),$$

we have $f_{i,j}(T_\varepsilon) \cap f_{i+1,j}(T_\varepsilon) \neq \emptyset$. By symmetry of T_ε (with respect to line $y = x$), (ii) also holds.

(iii) For $i = 0, 1, \ldots, p-2$, we see that

$$f_{i+1,i}\left(\begin{bmatrix} x \\ y \end{bmatrix}\right) = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{x+i+1}{p} \\ \frac{y+i}{p} \end{bmatrix} \text{ and } f_{i,i+1}\left(\begin{bmatrix} x \\ y \end{bmatrix}\right) = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{x+i}{p} \\ \frac{y+i+1}{p} \end{bmatrix}.$$

Since

$$f_{i+1,i}(t_{0,p-1}) = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{i+1}{p} \\ \frac{i+1}{p} \end{bmatrix} = f_{i,i+1}(t_{p-1,0}),$$

we have $f_{i+1,i}(T_\varepsilon) \cap f_{i,i+1}(T_\varepsilon) \neq \emptyset$.

From

$$f_{i,i}\left(\begin{bmatrix} x \\ y \end{bmatrix}\right) = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{x+i+\varepsilon}{p} \\ \frac{y+i+\varepsilon}{p} \end{bmatrix},$$

it follows that

$$f_{i,i}(t_{p-1,p-1}) = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{i+1+\varepsilon}{p} + \frac{\varepsilon}{p(p-1)} \\ \frac{i+1+\varepsilon}{p} + \frac{\varepsilon}{p(p-1)} \end{bmatrix} = f_{i+1,i+1}(t_{0,0}).$$

Hence $f_{i,i}(T_\varepsilon) \cap f_{i+1,i+1}(T_\varepsilon) \neq \emptyset$. □

Proof of Theorem 1.3:

First we assume that $\varepsilon \geq 0$. For the function system $\{f_{0,0}, f_{1,1}, \ldots, f_{p-1,p-1}\}$, there exists a unique attractor

$$\pi = seg\left(\begin{bmatrix} \frac{\varepsilon}{p-1} \\ \frac{\varepsilon}{p-1} \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 1 + \frac{\varepsilon}{p-1} \\ 1 + \frac{\varepsilon}{p-1} \end{bmatrix}\right)$$

where $seg(u, v)$ denotes the straight line-segment between vector u and vector v.

Let

$$V_1 = \bigcup_{i<j} f_{i,j}(T_\varepsilon), \quad V_2 = \bigcup_{i=j} f_{i,j}(T_\varepsilon), \quad V_3 = \bigcup_{i>j} f_{i,j}(T_\varepsilon).$$

Then $T_\varepsilon = V_1 \cup V_2 \cup V_3$ and $\pi \subset V_2$. It is easy to see that T_ε is located between two lines:

$$L_1 := \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} x \\ y \end{bmatrix} \in \mathbb{R}^2 : y = x + 1 \right\}, \quad L_2 := \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} x \\ y \end{bmatrix} \in \mathbb{R}^2 : y = x - 1 \right\}.$$

V_2 is located between two lines:

$$L_3 := \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} x \\ y \end{bmatrix} \in \mathbb{R}^2 : y = x + \frac{1}{p} \right\}, \quad L_4 := \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} x \\ y \end{bmatrix} \in \mathbb{R}^2 : y = x - \frac{1}{p} \right\}.$$

$V_1,\ V_3$ are separated by a line:

$$L_5 := \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} x \\ y \end{bmatrix} \in \mathbb{R}^2 : y = x \right\}.$$

Obviously, the segment $\pi \subset L_5$. (see Figure 2(a))

By Proposition 4.1, for any distinct pairs $(i,j),\ (i',j')$ with $i \neq j$ and $i' \neq j'$, there exists a sequence $(i,j) = (i_1,j_1),(i_2,j_2),\ldots,(i_n,j_n) = (i',j')$ with $i_k \neq j_k,\ 1 \leq k \leq n$ so that $f_{i_k,j_k}(T_\varepsilon) \cap f_{i_{k+1},j_{k+1}}(T_\varepsilon) \neq \emptyset$ for all $1 \leq k < n$. On the other hand, for any $i \neq j \in \{0,1,\ldots,p-1\}$ there exists a sequence $i = j_1, j_2, \ldots, j_m = j$ of indices in $\{0,1,\ldots,p-1\}$ so that $f_{j_k,j_k}(T_\varepsilon) \cap f_{j_{k+1},j_{k+1}}(T_\varepsilon) \neq \emptyset$ for all $1 \leq k < m$. Hence, by Lemma 2.2 and symmetry of T_ε (with respect to the line L_5), T_ε is connected if and only if $V_1 \cap V_2 \neq \emptyset$.

Since

$$f_{0,1}(t_{p-1,0}) = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{p} \\ \frac{1}{p} \end{bmatrix} \in f_{0,1}(T_\varepsilon) \subset V_1$$

and

$$f_{p-2,p-1}(t_{p-1,0}) = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{p-1}{p} \\ \frac{p-1}{p} \end{bmatrix} \in f_{p-2,p-1}(T_\varepsilon) \subset V_1.$$

It follows that these two points belong to the line L_5. We shall consider $V_1 \cap V_2$ by comparing these two points with the endpoints of the segment π.

(i) If $\varepsilon \leq \frac{(p-1)^2}{p}$, i.e., $\frac{\varepsilon}{p-1} \leq \frac{p-1}{p}$. Then $f_{p-2,p-1}(t_{p-1,0}) \in \pi$, that is $V_1 \cap V_2 \neq \emptyset$, which implies T_ε is connected.

(ii) If $\varepsilon > \frac{(p-1)^2}{p}$, i.e., $\frac{\varepsilon}{p-1} > \frac{p-1}{p}$. We let a point

$$\omega := f_{0,0} \circ f_{1,p-1}(t_{0,p-1}) = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{p^2} + \frac{\varepsilon}{p} \\ \frac{1}{p} + \frac{\varepsilon}{p} \end{bmatrix} \in f_{0,0}(T_\varepsilon) \subset V_2$$

and a line

$$L_6 := \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} x \\ y \end{bmatrix} \in \mathbb{R}^2 : y = x + \frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{p^2} \right\}.$$

Then $\omega \in L_6$ (see Figure 2(b)). Consider the compositions $f_{p-2,p-1} \circ f_{i+1,i}$ where $i = 0,1,\ldots,p-2$, we have

$$f_{p-2,p-1} \circ f_{i+1,i}(\pi) = seg\left(\begin{bmatrix} \frac{\varepsilon}{p^2(p-1)} + \frac{i+1}{p^2} + \frac{p-2}{p} \\ \frac{\varepsilon}{p^2(p-1)} + \frac{i}{p^2} + \frac{p-1}{p} \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\varepsilon}{p^2(p-1)} + \frac{i+2}{p^2} + \frac{p-2}{p} \\ \frac{\varepsilon}{p^2(p-1)} + \frac{i+1}{p^2} + \frac{p-1}{p} \end{bmatrix} \right).$$

Obviously, $f_{p-2,p-1} \circ f_{i+1,i}(\pi) \subset L_6$ holds for any i, and the right endpoint of $f_{p-2,p-1} \circ f_{i+1,i}(\pi)$ is equal to the left endpoint of $f_{p-2,p-1} \circ f_{i+2,i+1}(\pi)$. That is,

$$\pi_1 := \bigcup_{i=0}^{p-2} f_{p-2,p-1} \circ f_{i+1,i}(\pi) = seg\left(\begin{bmatrix} \frac{\varepsilon}{p^2(p-1)} + \frac{(p-1)^2}{p^2} \\ \frac{\varepsilon}{p^2(p-1)} + \frac{p-1}{p} \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\varepsilon}{p^2(p-1)} + \frac{p-1}{p} \\ \frac{\varepsilon}{p^2(p-1)} + \frac{p^2-1}{p^2} \end{bmatrix} \right).$$

Then $\pi_1 \subset f_{p-2,p-1}(T_\varepsilon) \subset V_1$ and $\pi_1 \subset L_6$ (see Figure 2(b)). Therefore, to show $V_1 \cap V_2 \neq \emptyset$, we only need to compare the x-coordinates of ω and π_1.

If

$$\frac{\varepsilon}{p^2(p-1)} + \frac{(p-1)^2}{p^2} \le \frac{\varepsilon}{p} + \frac{1}{p^2} \le \frac{\varepsilon}{p^2(p-1)} + \frac{p-1}{p},$$

i.e.,

$$\frac{p(p-1)(p-2)}{p^2-p-1} \le \varepsilon \le p-1,$$

then $\omega \in \pi_1$. Hence $V_1 \cap V_2 \neq \emptyset$, which implies T_ε is connected. As

$$\frac{p(p-1)(p-2)}{p^2-p-1} < \frac{(p-1)^2}{p} \quad \text{when} \quad p > 2,$$

then T_ε is connected if $\varepsilon \le p-1$.

(iii) If $p-1 < \varepsilon \le \frac{(p-1)^2}{p-2}$, by using a similar argument as above, we can find a point θ in V_2 and a line-segment π_2 in V_1 so that $\theta \in \pi_2$. Let

$$\theta := f_{0,0}(t_{0,p-1}) = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\varepsilon}{p} \\ \frac{\varepsilon+1}{p} \end{bmatrix} \in f_{0,0}(T_\varepsilon) \subset V_2,$$

then $\theta \in L_3$. Define a line-segment

$$\pi_2 := f_{p-2,p-1}(\pi) = seg\left(\begin{bmatrix} \frac{\varepsilon}{p(p-1)} + \frac{p-2}{p} \\ \frac{\varepsilon}{p(p-1)} + \frac{p-1}{p} \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\varepsilon}{p(p-1)} + \frac{p-1}{p} \\ \frac{\varepsilon}{p(p-1)} + 1 \end{bmatrix} \right).$$

Trivially we have $\pi_2 \subset f_{p-2,p-1}(T_\varepsilon) \subset V_1$ and $\pi_2 \subset L_3$. (see Figure 2(c))

From $p-1 < \varepsilon \le \frac{(p-1)^2}{p-2}$, it follows that

$$\frac{\varepsilon}{p(p-1)} + \frac{p-2}{p} < \frac{\varepsilon}{p} \le \frac{\varepsilon}{p(p-1)} + \frac{p-1}{p}.$$

Then $\theta \in \pi_2$, and $V_1 \cap V_2 \neq \emptyset$. Hence T_ε is connected.



(a) (b) (c)

FIGURE 2. Shifts of diagonal digits along the diagonal line.

(iv) If $\varepsilon > \frac{(p-1)^2}{p-2}$. Since the right endpoint of π has the maximum x-coordinate (or y-coordinate) in T_ε, $f_{i,j}(\pi)$ has the maximum x-coordinate in $f_{i,j}(T_\varepsilon)$. As the

x-coordinate of the right endpoint of $f_{i,j}(\pi)$ is $\frac{\varepsilon}{p(p-1)} + \frac{i+1}{p}$, where $i < j$ and $0 \le i < p-1$. Then the right endpoint of π_2 has the maximum x-coordinate, say $\frac{\varepsilon}{p(p-1)} + \frac{p-1}{p}$, in V_1. Note that the point θ has the minimum x-coordinate in V_2, and both θ and π_2 lie in the same line L_3. If $\varepsilon > \frac{(p-1)^2}{p-2}$, then $\frac{\varepsilon}{p} > \frac{\varepsilon}{p(p-1)} + \frac{p-1}{p}$, implying $\theta \notin \pi_2$. Hence $V_1 \cap V_2 = \emptyset$, that is, T_ε is disconnected.

By combining (i), (ii), (iii) and (iv), we prove that: when $\varepsilon \ge 0$, T_ε is connected if and only if $\varepsilon \le \frac{(p-1)^2}{p-2}$ (see Figure 3). Similarly for the case that $\varepsilon \le 0$, we can show that T_ε is connected if and only if $\varepsilon \ge -\frac{(p-1)^2}{p-2}$. Therefore, we complete the proof of Theorem 1.3. □



(a) $\varepsilon = 3$ (b) $\varepsilon = 4$ (c) $\varepsilon = 5$

FIGURE 3. An illustration of Theorem 1.3 by taking $p = 3$.

We remark that under the condition of Theorem 1.3, the open set condition does not always hold. For example if we take $\varepsilon = \ell + k/p$ where $\ell = 0, 1, \ldots, p-2$ and $k = 1, 2, \ldots, p-1$, we have

$$f_{0,0} \circ f_{0,p-1}\left(\begin{bmatrix} x \\ y \end{bmatrix}\right) = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{x}{p^2} + \frac{k}{p^2} + \frac{\ell}{p} \\ \frac{y}{p^2} + \frac{k-1}{p^2} + \frac{\ell+1}{p} \end{bmatrix} = f_{\ell,\ell+1} \circ f_{k,k-1}\left(\begin{bmatrix} x \\ y \end{bmatrix}\right).$$

Then $f_{0,0} \circ f_{0,p-1} = f_{\ell,\ell+1} \circ f_{k,k-1}$. Similarly, we have $f_{0,0} \circ f_{p-1,0} = f_{\ell+1,\ell} \circ f_{k-1,k}$ (see Figure 2(a)). Thus the IFS does not satisfy the open set condition [4]. Hence T_ε is not a tile in this situation [13].

Acknowledgements: The first author gratefully acknowledges the support of K. C. Wong Education Foundation and DAAD. The authors also would like to thank Professor Jun Luo of Sun Yat-Sen Uinversity for many inspiring discussions.

REFERENCES

[1] S. Akiyama and N. Gjini, *Connectedness of number-theoretic tilings*, Discrete Math. Theoret. Computer Science 7 (2005), no. 1, 269-312.

[2] S. Akiyama and B. Loridant, *Boundary parametrization of self-affine tiles*, J. Math. Soc. Japan **63** (2011), no.2, 525-579.

[3] S. Akiyama and J.M. Thuswaldner, *A survey on topological properties of tiles related to number systems*, Geom. Dedicata, 109 (2004), 89-105.

[4] C. Bandt and S. Graf, *Self-similar sets 7: a characterization of self-similar fractals with positive Hausdorff measure*, Proc. Am. Math. Soc. 114 (1992), 995-1001.

[5] C. Bandt and Y. Wang, *Disk-like self-affine tiles in* $\mathbb{R}^2$, Discrete Comput. Geom. 26 (2001), no.4, 591-601.

[6] Q.R. Deng and K.S. Lau, *Connectedness of a class of planar self-affine tiles*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 380 (2011), 493-500.

[7] K. Gröchenig and A. Haas, *Self-similar lattice tilings*, J. Fourier Anal. Appl. 1 (1994), 131-170.

[8] D. Hacon, N.C. Saldanha and J.J.P. Veerman, *Remarks on self-affine tilings*, Experiment. Math. 3 (1994), 317-327.

[9] M. Hata, *On the structure of self-similar sets*, Japan J. Appl. Math. 2 (1985), no.2, 381-414.

[10] J.E. Hutchinson, *Fractals and self-similarity*, Indiana Univ. Math. J. 30 (1981), 713-747.

[11] I. Kirat, *Disk-like tiles and self-affine curves with non-collinear digits*, Math. Comp. 79 (2010), 1019-1045.

[12] I. Kirat and K.S. Lau, *On the connectedness of self-affine tiles*, J. London Math. Soc. 62 (2000), 291-304.

[13] J.C. Lagarias and Y. Wang, *Self-affine tiles in* $\mathbb{R}^n$, Adv. Math. 121 (1996), 21-49.

[14] J.C. Lagarias and Y. Wang, *Integral self-affine tiles in* $\mathbb{R}^n$ *I. Standard and nonstandard digit sets*, J. Lond. Math. Soc. 54 (1996) 161-179.

[15] J.C. Lagarias and Y. Wang, *Integral Self-affine tiles in* $\mathbb{R}^n$ *II. Lattice tilings*, J. Fourier Anal. Appl. 3 (1997), 84-102.

[16] K.S. Leung and K.S. Lau, *Disk-likeness of planar self-affine tiles*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 359 (2007), 3337-3355.

[17] K.S. Leung and J.J. Luo, *Connectedness of planar self-affine sets associated with non-consecutive collinear digit sets*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 395 (2012), 208-217.

[18] K.S. Leung and J.J. Luo, *Connectedness of planar self-affine sets associated with non-collinear digit sets*, Geom. Dedicata 175 (2015), 145-157.

[19] K.S. Leung and J.J. Luo, *Boundaries of disk-like self-affine tiles*, Discrete Comput. Geom. 50 (2013), 194-218.

[20] H. Li, J. Luo and J.D. Yin, *The properties of a family of tiles with a parameter*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 335 (2007), 1383-1396.

[21] J.C. Liu, J.J. Luo and H.W. Xie, *On the connectedness of planar self-affine sets*, Chaos, Solitons & Fractals 69 (2014), 107-116.

[22] J. Luo, S. Akiyama and J.M. Thuswaldner, *On the boundary connectedness of connected tiles*, Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc. 137 (2004), no.2, 397-410.

[23] J. Luo, H. Rao and B. Tan, *Topological structure of self-similar sets*, Fractals 10 (2002), no. 2, 223-227.

[24] S.-M. Ngai and T.-M. Tang, *A technique in the topology of connected self-similar tiles*, Fractals 12 (2004), no. 4, 389-403.

[25] S.-M. Ngai and T.-M. Tang, *Topology of connected self-similar tiles in the plane with disconnected interiors*, Topology Appl. 150 (2005), no. 1-3, 139-155.

College of Mathematics and Statistics, Chongqing University, 401331 Chongqing, China

Institut für Mathematik, Friedrich-Schiller-Universität Jena, 07743 Jena, Germany

E-mail address: jasonluojun@gmail.com

College of Mathematics and Statistics, Chongqing Uinversity, Chongqing, 401331, P.R. China

E-mail address: lwang@cqu.edu.cn